



Emergency Mobile Alert system

Follow-up survey for the nationwide test
on Sunday 24 November 2019



COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company

Objectives



Following the live technical test of the Emergency Mobile Alert system on Sunday 24 November 2019, Civil Defence engaged Colmar Brunton to determine:




The proportion of the New Zealand population who received the test alert



The environment New Zealanders were in when they received the alert

Prior awareness of the Emergency Mobile Alert system



Prior knowledge that the nationwide test was going to take place

The public's perceptions of the Emergency Mobile Alert system



Whether or not the public believe the system should be optional



The types of events the public believe alerts should be used for

Methodology




Random mobile
phone interviewing of
New Zealand
residents aged 15
years and over



Interviewing
25 November to
8 December 2018



10 minute average
interview duration



1,000 interviews
completed

READING NOTES:

- Significance testing was carried out at the 95% level.
- The maximum margin of error is +/- 3.1 percentage points at the 95% confidence level (for a simple random sample).
- Throughout the report the term 'New Zealanders' is used to refer to those 15 and over who currently live in New Zealand and 'mobile users' is used to refer to those who have access to a mobile phone. Caution should be taken with the results based on 'New Zealanders' because the proportion without a mobile phone is an estimate. The estimate is based on Statistics NZ 2013 census data, and also uses Statistics NZ population estimates and the Commerce Commission NZ Annual Telecommunications Monitoring Report to estimate the change since 2013.



Summary of key findings




COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company

Summary

The EMA system is tracking well. All key measures have seen significant improvements since the 2017 benchmark survey.

ALL NEW ZEALANDERS	NEW ZEALANDERS WITH ACCESS TO A MOBILE PHONE		
 2019 70% of New Zealanders received the nationwide test alert 2018 : 60% 2017 : 34%	▲ 75% of mobile users were aware of the EMA system before the nationwide test took place  2018 : 71% 2017 : 66%	Most mobile users believe the EMA system will be an effective way of alerting New Zealanders in an emergency  2019 80% 2018 : 75% 2017 : 72%	Most mobile users believe New Zealanders should not be able to opt out of the EMA system  2019 82% 2018 : 78% 2017 : 72%



Detailed findings



COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company




**What proportion of the
New Zealand population
received the test alert on
Sunday 24 November 2019?**



COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company

It is estimated that 70% of all New Zealanders aged 15 and over received the 2019 nationwide test alert. This is a significant improvement from both the 2017 and 2018 tests.




▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year


The results below show the differences in receipt by region, area type, age, household income and household size. Older New Zealanders and those with a lower household income are least likely to receive Emergency Mobile Alerts.




REGION




AREA TYPE




HOUSEHOLD INCOME



AGE



HOUSEHOLD SIZE




▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than average

Of those who didn't receive the test alert, most simply aren't sure why they didn't receive it / haven't tried to find out, or don't have access to a mobile phone.



REASONS FOR NOT RECEIVING THE NATIONWIDE TEST ALERT



Did receive the nationwide test alert




What proportion of people with access to a mobile phone received the test alert on Sunday 24 November 2019?



COLMAR BRUNTON



A Kantar Millward Brown Company

Among New Zealanders who have access to a mobile phone, seventy-nine percent received the test alert on Sunday 24 November, a ten percentage-point increase from 2018 findings. A further eight percent didn't personally receive the alert but were near someone who did.



▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

When this years test alert happened, most mobile users were at home with only a little bit of noise around them.






What was the reach of the campaign activity leading up to the nationwide test amongst New Zealanders with access to a mobile phone?



COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company


Awareness of the EMA system continues to improve. Seventy-five percent of mobile users were aware of the system before this year's test took place.



...WERE AWARE OF THE EMERGENCY MOBILE ALERT SYSTEM

▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

Fifty-one percent of mobile users were aware that the test was going to take place before it happened, slightly fewer than last year.









...WERE AWARE THE NATIONWIDE TEST WAS GOING TO TAKE PLACE ON SUNDAY 24 NOVEMBER 2019

▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

Outlined below are the differences in awareness by region, area type, age, household income, household size and ethnicity.



■ Aware of EMA system (75% national average) ■ Aware test was taking place (51% national average)



▲ ▼ Significantly higher/lower than average

Television and radio remain the most commonly mentioned sources of information, for both the EMA system and the nationwide test.



SOURCES OF INFORMATION


2019 2018 2017



Emergency Mobile Alert system



Nationwide test



▲ ▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

Below are detailed findings outlining sources of awareness among key demographic groups. It should be read as follows: of 15 – 34 year old mobile users who were aware of the EMA system before the nationwide test took place, 17% heard about the EMA system on TV - this is significantly lower than the average among all mobile users (30%).



Emergency Mobile Alert system

	Average	Auckland	Other Upper North Island	Wellington	Other Lower North Island	Canterbury	Other South Island	Under \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 or more	Urban, Suburban	Rural	15-34	35-54	55-64	65+	1 person in household	2 or 3 people in household	4 or more people in household
TV	30%	27%	32%	31%	26%	31%	33%	35%	31%	27%	33%	23%	17%	30%	43%	43%	48%	32%	24%
Radio	25%	26%	24%	16%	28%	26%	32%	23%	29%	26%	23%	33%	19%	25%	30%	35%	34%	26%	22%
Online (social media)	14%	15%	13%	16%	10%	17%	11%	11%	17%	13%	15%	11%	23%	15%	6%	3%	4%	12%	19%
Newspaper or magazine	10%	9%	13%	9%	7%	11%	8%	15%	7%	9%	9%	13%	5%	9%	6%	24%	14%	12%	6%
Online (non social media)	7%	7%	9%	16%	3%	3%	3%	4%	7%	10%	6%	10%	7%	9%	5%	6%	6%	8%	7%
Mobile phone	10%	9%	12%	14%	9%	7%	10%	14%	9%	8%	11%	8%	14%	10%	8%	5%	7%	7%	15%



Nationwide test

	Average	Auckland	Other Upper North Island	Wellington	Other Lower North Island	Canterbury	Other South Island	Under \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 or more	Urban, Suburban	Rural	15-34	35-54	55-64	65+	1 person in household	2 or 3 people in household	4 or more people in household
TV	35%	31%	39%	40%	32%	30%	37%	48%	29%	33%	37%	29%	16%	32%	52%	49%	54%	37%	26%
Radio	29%	29%	31%	21%	27%	28%	34%	27%	30%	32%	27%	36%	25%	31%	32%	29%	30%	29%	29%
Online (social media)	15%	17%	14%	21%	8%	19%	11%	12%	16%	15%	15%	16%	27%	16%	9%	3%	4%	11%	25%
Newspaper or magazine	10%	15%	11%	12%	3%	10%	3%	15%	4%	12%	10%	11%	5%	10%	4%	23%	9%	12%	7%
Online (non social media)	8%	10%	8%	7%	3%	4%	8%	5%	6%	9%	7%	10%	12%	9%	4%	3%	5%	9%	8%
Mobile phone	6%	6%	5%	5%	11%	3%	9%	7%	6%	6%	7%	2%	6%	5%	6%	5%	9%	4%	8%



What do New Zealanders think about the Emergency Mobile Alert system and how it's used?




COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company


Most (80%) mobile users now believe the EMA system will be an effective way of alerting New Zealanders in an emergency.




2017



2018




2019



5. Extremely effective 4. 3. 2. 1. Not at all effective Don't know

▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

Among those who don't think the EMA system will be effective, most feel this way because not everyone will get the alerts.




“
Obviously because I did not receive the alert it does not work. Its a failure. Is it not the idea that these alerts are to reach every single person?

▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

■ 2019 ■ 2018 ■ 2017

Most mobile users feel people should not be able to opt out of the system. The proportion of mobile users who feel this was has been growing since the benchmark survey was undertaken in 2017.



▲▼ Significantly higher/lower than previous year

Those who believe the EMA alerts should not be optional mostly say they feel this way because its for the safety of everyone.





SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO OPT-OUT

2019: 82%

SHOULD BE ABLE TO OPT-OUT


2019: 13%



“

At the end of day it's about saving lives and its a coordinated message for people in danger. So things can be much better organised and save more people. The process has been well communicated.

Mobile users are relatively comfortable with the EMA system being used for a wide range of events. They are most comfortable with it being used for: Large natural disasters, tsunamis, flooding, wildfires, and volcanic eruptions.



Those who feel the EMA system should be optional tend to be more reserved around what events they feel the system should be used for.



	SHOULD BE ABLE TO OPT-OUT	SHOULD <u>NOT</u> BE ABLE TO OPT-OUT
	% point difference from average	
Large natural disasters	-6%	2%
Tsunamis	-7%	1%
Flooding	-13%	1%
Wildfires	-4%	1%
Volcanic eruptions	-1%	1%
Volcanic ashfall		2%
Severe weather events	-9%	1%
Landslides	-8%	1%
Urban fires	2%	-1%
Armed offenders	-3%	1%
Hazardous substances	-2%	
Notices to boil water	-9%	2%
Infectious disease epidemics or pandemics	-1%	
Suspicious packages or bomb threats	6%	-1%
Vulnerable missing persons	1%	-1%
Prison escapes	7%	
Abductions or kidnappings	-4%	
Hazardous product recalls	7%	-1%

**For further information,
please contact:**

Michael Dunne or Emma Stratton

Colmar Brunton, a Kantar Millward Brown Company
Level 9, Legal House,
101 Lambton Quay
Wellington 6011
Phone (04) 913 3000
www.colmarbrunton.co.nz



COLMAR BRUNTON

A Kantar Millward Brown Company

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Research Association NZ Code of Practice

Colmar Brunton practitioners are members of the Research Association NZ and are obliged to comply with the Research Association NZ Code of Practice. A copy of the Code is available from the Executive Secretary or the Complaints Officer of the Society.

Confidentiality

Reports and other records relevant to a Market Research project and provided by the Researcher shall normally be for use solely by the Client and the Client's consultants or advisers.

Research Information

Article 25 of the Research Association NZ Code states:

- a. The research technique and methods used in a Marketing Research project do not become the property of the Client, who has no exclusive right to their use.
- b. Marketing research proposals, discussion papers and quotations, unless these have been paid for by the client, remain the property of the Researcher.
- c. They must not be disclosed by the Client to any third party, other than to a consultant working for a Client on that project. In particular, they must not be used by the Client to influence proposals or cost quotations from other researchers.

Publication of a Research Project

Article 31 of the Research Association NZ Code states:

Where a client publishes any of the findings of a research project the client has a responsibility to ensure these are not misleading. The Researcher must be consulted and agree in advance to the form and content for publication. Where this does not happen the Researcher is entitled to:

- a. Refuse permission for their name to be quoted in connection with the published findings
- b. Publish the appropriate details of the project
- c. Correct any misleading aspects of the published presentation of the findings

Electronic Copies

Electronic copies of reports, presentations, proposals and other documents must not be altered or amended if that document is still identified as a Colmar Brunton document. The authorised original of all electronic copies and hard copies derived from these are to be retained by Colmar Brunton.

Colmar Brunton™ New Zealand is certified to International Standard ISO 20252 (2012). This project will be/has been completed in compliance with this International Standard.

